

ICA Commission on Generalisation and Multiple Representation



19th ICA Workshop on Generalisation and Multiple Representation

Geometric Simplification of Administrative Borders With Mixture of Irregular and Orthogonal Segments



Timofey Samsonov

tsamsonov@geogr.msu.ru

Lomonosov Moscow State University Faculty of Geography

Olga Yakimova

polya@uniyar.ac.ru

Demidov Yaroslavl State University Faculty of Mathematics



Helsinki, Finland, 14 May 2016





Object of study Administrative borders with heterogeneous shapes Visual orthogonality depends on the scale of observation Irregular (natural) shape Orthogonal shape Komi region Arkhangelsk region



Background



- Numerous approaches have been developed for generalization of orthogonally shaped lines and polygons, mainly for generalization of buildings (Mayer 1998, Sester 2005, Kada and Luo 2006, Dutter 2007, Damen et al. 2008, Bayer 2009, Haunert & Wolff 2010, Steininger et al., 2010, Buchin et al., 2011, Cheng et al, 2013)
- The algorithms operate globally, i.e. the building is considered to be a priori orthogonally shaped, with an exception for arcs detection (Buchin et al., 2011).
- Existing approaches are not applicable in case of mixed orthogonal/natural geometries, because simplification and *preprocessing* approaches for orthogonal shapes are very specific (including squaring) and will distort other parts, and vice versa.

The Goal

Develop an approach to cartographic generalization of lines consisting of both irregular and orthogonal segments



Methodology

1.Preprocessing

1.1.Extract long (visible!) edges

1.2.Detect right angles and right angle sequences

1.3.Square right angle sequences — derive orthogonal segments

2.Processing

2.1.Simplify orthogonal segments2.2.Simplify other segments

Detection of right angles



Redundant points are eliminated by sequential point-reduction algorithm based on perpendicular distance

Corrected Angle Tolerance $(s_i > S)$

Inhibition: • k=1 • k=1.2 • k=1.5 • k=2 • k=3 • k=5





Edge Length



- 1. Ortho right angle point.
- 2. **Two straights** the angle is formed by two long straight edges which do not intersect at right angle.
- 3. **One straight** only one adjacent edge is long straight while the other is shorter than S.
- 4. No straights both adjacent edges are shorter than S.

Angle Tolerance



- 1. Ortho right angle point.
- 2. **Two straights** the angle is formed by two long straight edges which do not intersect at right angle.
- 3. **One straight** only one adjacent edge is long straight while the other is shorter than S.
- 4. No straights both adjacent edges are shorter than S.

Inhibition Factor



- 1. Ortho right angle point.
- 2. Two straights the angle is formed by two long straight edges which do not intersect at right angle.
- 3. **One straight** only one adjacent edge is long straight while the other is shorter than S.
- 4. No straights both adjacent edges are shorter than S.

Parameters used for experiments: $\hat{a} = 15.0$ k = 3.0 S = 2.0

Simplification — Basic Contractions

All edges shorter than **tS** are contracted, where **t** is the reduction in scale (*times*)



In case of Z- and U-like configurations the contracted edge e_i is replaced by the edge perpendicular to it and connected to the e_{i-2} and e_{i+2} or their extensions. Thus, e_{i-1} and e_{i+1} are removed and the line structure is reindexed.

Endpoint and short configurations are the special cases

Contraction of the first edge of the orthogonal part is made by shortcut between p_0 and e_2 thus replacing e_0 and e_1 by a single edge. The similar approach is applied in case of the last point.

All 3-point configurations and 4-point with contracted middle edge are replaced by a straight edge connecting endpoints.

Example Generalization











Comparison



Spatial Accuracy

Proposed method

• Li-Openshaw

Douglas-Peucker



Conclusions

- 1. The developed method allows effective line segmentation into orthogonal and non-orthogonal (irregular) parts, which helps to apply different algorithms for their simplification.
- 2. Orthogonal parts can be simplified by numerous approaches including contractions, edge-moves, shortcuts and so on.
- 3. Non-orthogonal parts should better be subdivided into smooth and sharp subparts for application of the best suitable generalization algorithm (Li-O. and D.-P. correspondingly).

This research was supported by Russian Basic Research Foundation (RFBR) grant 15-07-06789 A



ICA Commission on Generalisation and Multiple Representation



19th ICA Workshop on Generalisation and Multiple Representation

Geometric Simplification of Administrative Borders With Mixture of Irregular and Orthogonal Segments



Timofey Samsonov

tsamsonov@geogr.msu.ru

Lomonosov Moscow State University Faculty of Geography

Olga Yakimova

polya@uniyar.ac.ru

Demidov Yaroslavl State University Faculty of Mathematics



Helsinki, Finland, 14 May 2016

Questions?